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## Research Purposes

- The market pricing of the components of accounting accruals information for the firms listed in the Tokyo Stock Exchange.
- The relationship between the pricing of accounting accruals and the revisions of analyst earnings forecasts.


## Financial Analysts and Accruals

- Stober (1992), Abarbanell, and Bushee(1997)
- Financial analysts do not fully impound the accounting information into their earnings forecasts.
- Barth and Hutton (2004) show that:
- The revisions of the analyst forecast are positively related to the current year's accruals.
- But these revisions do not reflect the reversals of the accruals on average.
- $\rightarrow$ Findings in the previous studies suggest the existence of the market inefficiency and optimism of analysts' forecasts.
- Question: Whether is this also the case for the financial analysts in Japan?


## Hypothesis Development

- We hypothesize that the accruals anomaly is a universal phenomenon that is observed among the developed capital markets like the one in Japan.
- H0: (Basic Maintained Hypothesis)
- Both the investors and the analysts fail to distinguish the level of the accruals and its impact upon the reported earnings around the initial announcement months and they begin to recognize the real implications of the accruals around future earnings announcement months.

Research Purposes and Six Operational Hypothesis

- After we provide the basic maintained hypothesis, H 0 . Then, we decompose H 0 into six operational forms.
- Market pricing of the components of accounting accruals information for the firms listed in the Tokyo Stock Exchange.


## HO <br> H1, H2, H3

- The relationship between the pricing of accounting accruals and the revisions of analyst earnings forecasts.

H4, H5, H6

## Predictability of Stock Returns

- H1: The future stock returns are predictable by the accruals components of the current earnings for Japanese firms.
$\rightarrow$ Regression Analysis
- H2: A trading strategy which takes a long position in the stock of the firms reporting lower level of the accruals and a short position in the stock of the firms reporting higher level of the accruals can generate the positive stock returns for Japanese firms.
$\rightarrow$ Portfolio Formation Method
- H3: The positive stock returns documented in H2 are concentrated around the months of future earnings announcements.
$\rightarrow$ Analysis on Month by Month Return Spreads

Earnings Management and Forecast Revision

- H6: The magnitude of $A B N A C$ for the firms for which analysts revise downward their earnings forecasts is larger than for the firms for which analysts upward their earnings forecasts.

Relationship between the magnitude of $A B N A C$ and the analysts' forecast revisions

## The Pricing of Accruals and the Revisions of Analyst Earnings Forecasts

- Investigation of the month-by-month return spread to test the pricing processes of abnormal accruals.
- The result shows that the mispricing of abnormal accruals gets corrected as soon as the subsequent earning information becomes publicly available.
- We analyze the earnings forecasts revisions
- To test whether financial analysts fail to analyze correctly the implications of the abnormal accruals.
- To test whether analysts can revise their forecasts correctly when the subsequent earning information becomes publicly available.


## Analysts' Forecast Revisions

- H4: The probability that the financial analysts revise their original earnings forecasts downward (upward) is greater for the firms with the highest (lowest) abnormal accruals, relative to the firms with the lowest (highest) abnormal accruals.
- H5: The probability of the downward (upward) forecasts revisions for the firms with highest (lowest) abnormal accruals is larger than for the firms with lower (higher) abnormal accruals when the future earnings are realized to be lower (higher) than was originally expected.



## Decomposition of Accounting Accruals(2)

- $A C C=N A C+A B N A C$
- Previous studies: Kasznik (1999), Dechow (2000)
- Cross-sectional CFO modified Jones model
$A C C_{j, t}=\alpha_{j}+\beta_{1, j}\left(\Delta R E V_{j, t}-\Delta R E C_{j, t}\right)+\beta_{2, j} P P E_{j, t}+\beta_{3, j} \Delta C F O_{j, t}+\varepsilon_{j, t}$

$\quad \begin{gathered}\text { NAC }\end{gathered}$
where $\triangle R E V=\Delta($ Sales revenue $)$,
$\triangle R E C=\Delta$ (Accounting receivables),
ABNAC
$P P E=$ Gross property, plant, and equipment.

## Sample and Data

- Non-financial firms listed in the first section of the TSE, whose fiscal year end on March 31.
- Data is pooled staring from 1980 till 2002, resulting in 16,181 firm-year observations.
- Accounting data:
- Nikkei NEEDS Database by Nihon Keizai Shinbun Inc.
- Market Attributes Data (Stock Return etc.):
- Nikkei Portfolio Master Database by Nikkei Media Marketing, Co., Ltd.
- Analyst Earnings Forecast Data:
- ToyoKeizai Estimate Data by Toyo Keizai, Inc.


## Descriptive Statistics

- The statistics look similar to those of Richardson et al.(2001) and Xie (2001).
- ACCs average is negative due to the high depreciation expenses among Japanese firms.

|  | Mean | S.D. | 1st Qu. | Median | 3rd Qu. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EBEI | 1.941 | 2.644 | 0.771 | 1.744 | 3.114 |
| CFO | 4.725 | 6.504 | 1.255 | 4.557 | 7.926 |
| ACC | -2.784 | 6.130 | -5.733 | -2.701 | 0.374 |
| $\triangle C O A$ | 0.906 | 7.342 | -2.572 | 0.892 | 4.711 |
| $\triangle C O L$ | -0.472 | 5.654 | -3.094 | -0.402 | 2.033 |
| $\triangle N C O L$ | -0.217 | 1.349 | -0.448 | -0.118 | 0.123 |
| DEPR | -3.001 | 2.251 | -4.065 | -2.655 | -1.411 |
| CACC | 0.434 | 5.525 | -2.038 | 0.435 | 3.020 |
| NCACC | -3.218 | 2.650 | -4.381 | -2.849 | -1.474 |
| NAC | -2.784 | 5.085 | -5.346 | -2.700 | -0.055 |
| ABNAC | 0.000 | 3.423 | -1.837 | 0.013 | 1.883 |
| LnMV | 11.151 | 1.379 | 10.181 | 11.047 | 12.030 |
| BPR | 60.728 | 55.527 | 29.601 | 48.037 | 74.039 |
| CRR | 6.296 | 42.291 | -20.979 | 0.255 | 24.810 |
| CAR | 0.382 | 3.023 | -1.319 | 0.061 | 1.680 |
| All variables except for LnMV are standardized by their total assets, and in \%. |  |  |  |  |  |

## Evidence of Income Smoothing

- Earnings volatility is much smaller than those of cash-flows and accruals.
- There exists a very strong and negative correlation between cash-flows and accruals.
- The managers may engage in earnings management behavior through some kind of income smoothing scheme.



## Correlation Matrix

- Pearson correlations are reported in the upper triangular matrix and Spearman rank correlations are reported in the lower triangular matrix

|  | EBEI | CFO | ACC | $\triangle C O A$ | COOL | INCOL | DEPR | CACC | NCACC | NAC | ${ }_{\text {ABNAC }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EBEI |  | 0.349 | 0.031 | 0.207 | -0.174 | ${ }^{-0.261}$ | ${ }^{-0.092}$ | 0.116 | -0.173 | -0.022 | 0.075 |
| $p$-value |  | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.006 | 0.000 |
| CFO | 0.341 |  | -0.889 | 0.392 | -0.163 | 0.195 | -0.396 | -0.732 | -0.449 | $-0.726$ | $-0.441$ |
| $p$-value | 0.000 |  | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| ACC | 0.070 | -0.914 |  | 0.527 | 0.095 | 0.099 | 0.406 | 0.863 | 0.427 | 0.777 | 0.527 |
| $p$-value | 0.000 | 0.000 |  | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| $\triangle C O A$ | 0.218 | -0.440 | 0.561 |  | -0.657 | 0.265 | 0.041 | 0.615 | -0.037 | 0.401 | 0.310 |
| $p$-value | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |  | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| $\triangle \mathrm{COL}$ | -0.151 | -0.205 | 0.152 | -0.667 |  | 0.276 | -0.042 | 0.088 | 0.046 | 0.066 | 0.066 |
| $p$-value | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |  | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| SNCOL | -0.194 | -0.286 | 0.220 | -0.132 | 0.163 |  | 0.068 | -0.086 | 0.396 | 0.071 | 0.065 |
| $p$-value | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |  | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| DEPR | -0.026 | -0.367 | 0.378 | 0.021 | -0.021 | 0.023 |  | 0.009 | 0.905 | 0.406 | 0.098 |
| $p$-value | 0.001 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.009 | 0.009 | 0.004 |  | 0.259 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| CACC | 0.135 | ${ }^{-0.795}$ | 0.902 | 0.647 | 0.137 | 0.009 | 0.006 |  | -0.012 | 0.631 | 0.515 |
| $p$-value | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.260 | 0.421 |  | 0.115 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| NCACC | -0.121 | $-0.457$ | 0.433 | -0.050 | 0.065 | 0.528 | 0.861 | 0.001 |  | 0.414 | 0.121 |
| $p$-value | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.913 |  | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| NAC | -0.025 | -0.792 | 0.830 | 0.433 | 0.139 | 0.201 | 0.377 | 0.718 | 0.422 |  | -0.044 |
| $p$-value | 0.002 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |  | 0.000 |
| ABNAC | 0.162 | -0.460 | 0.558 | 0.362 | 0.065 | 0.097 | 0.117 | 0.548 | 0.148 | 0.000 |  |
| $p$-value | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 1.000 |  |

## OLS Regression Analysis

- We observed negative correlation between CFOs and ACCs.
- To avoid the multicolinearity problem, we dropped CFOs from regression equations.
- We run the OLS regressions to find out the explanatory power of the accruals components on the future abnormal stock returns.

$C A R_{j t}=\gamma_{0}+\gamma_{1} A C C_{j t}+\varepsilon_{j i}$,
Model2 :
CAR $_{j t}=\gamma_{0}+\gamma_{1} \Delta$ COA $_{j t}+\gamma_{2} \Delta$ COL $_{j t}+\gamma_{3} \Delta N C O L_{j t}+\gamma_{4}$ DEPR $_{j t}+\varepsilon_{j t}$,
Model3:
CAR $_{j t}=\gamma_{0}+\gamma_{1}$ CACC $_{j t}+\gamma_{2}$ NCACC $_{j t}+\varepsilon_{j t}$,
Model4:
CAR $_{j t}=\gamma_{0}+\gamma_{1} N A C_{j t}+\gamma_{2} A B N A C_{j t}+\varepsilon_{j t}$.


## Definition of Abnormal Returns

- We use Fama and French 3 factor model as a benchmark. (Fama and French(1993))
- The very strong performance of FF3 in describing asset returns not only for U.S. data but also for Japanese data brings about more and more application of it in the real world.
- CAR (Cumulative Abnormal Return)
= Annualized Jensen's alpha which is measured based on FF3.
$r_{j k}-r_{f k}=\alpha_{j t}+\beta_{j t}^{M}\left(r_{M k}-r_{f k}\right)+\beta_{j t}^{S M B} S M B_{k}+\beta_{j t}^{H M L} H M L_{k}+\eta_{j k}$,



## OLS Regression Results

We use pooled data of 16,181 firm-year observations from 1980 to 2003.

- The result shows that the components of the accruals have incremental explanatory power on the future stock returns.

| Table 3. Panel A. Regressions using actual values |  |  |  |  |  | DEPR | CACC | NCACC | NAC | ABNAC | Adj-Rsq |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Alpha | ACC | $\triangle C O A$ | $\triangle \mathrm{COL}$ | $\triangle \mathrm{NCOL}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Coef | 9.588 | -6.081 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.0021 |
| p-val | 0.000 | 0.000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Coef | 13.008 |  | -6.147 | 0.122 | -3.656 | $-3.240$ |  |  |  |  | 0.0028 |
| p-val | 0.000 |  | 0.000 | 0.934 | 0.001 | 0.003 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Coef | 11.019 |  |  |  |  |  | -4.651 | -4.291 |  |  | 0.0021 |
| p-val | 0.000 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.000 | 0.000 |  |  |  |
| Coef | 10.898 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | -5.173 | -3.528 | 0.0019 |
|  | 0.000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.000 | 0.001 |  |

## Results of Fama-MacBeth Regression Analysis

- Sample Period: 07/1980-06/2003. The regression coefficients are the average of 276 estimated parameters.
- The decomposition of the total accruals into NAC and ABNAC help identify the source of the value relevance contained in the accounting accruals.

|  | Alpha | ACC | ACOA | ACOL | ANCOL | DEPR | CACC | NCACC | NAC | ABNAC |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adj-Rsq |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Coef | 0.358 | -0.010 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| p-val | 0.000 | 0.030 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.0029 |
| Coef | 0.330 |  | -0.015 | -0.007 | -0.004 | -0.009 |  |  |  |  |
| p-val | 0.000 |  | 0.006 | 0.276 | 0.911 | 0.549 |  |  |  |  |
| Coef | 0.382 |  |  |  |  |  | -0.010 | -0.004 |  |  |
| p-val | 0.000 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.049 | 0.772 |  |  |
| Coef | 0.388 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.0152 |  |
| p-val | 0.000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.0077 |  |

Fama-French's 3 factor model (1993, JFE)


## Fama-MacBeth Regression

- To confirm the robustness of the results we have obtained in the OLS regression analysis, we conduct Fama-MacBeth regression by using monthly return observations.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.R_{j k}-R_{j k}=\alpha_{j k}+\beta_{j t}^{M}\left(R_{M k}-R_{j k}\right)+\beta_{j t}^{\text {SMB }} S M B_{k}+\beta_{j t}^{H M L} H M L_{k}+\eta_{j k}\right) \\
& \text { Model1 : Residual Returns } \quad \int_{k=12(t-1)+1, \ldots, 12 t, ~}^{\text {a }} \\
& \alpha_{j t}+\eta_{j k}=\gamma_{0}+\gamma_{1} A C C_{j t}+\varepsilon_{j k}, \\
& \text { Model2 : } \\
& \alpha_{j i}+\eta_{j k}=\gamma_{0}+\gamma_{1} \Delta \text { COA }_{j t}+\gamma_{2} \Delta \text { COL }_{j t}+\gamma_{3} \Delta N C O L_{j t}+\gamma_{4} \text { DEPR }_{j t}+\varepsilon_{j k}, \\
& \text { Model3 : } \\
& \alpha_{j t}+\eta_{j k}=\gamma_{0}+\gamma_{1} C A C C_{j t}+\gamma_{2} N C A C C_{j t}+\varepsilon_{j k}, \\
& \text { Model4 : } \\
& \alpha_{j t}+\eta_{j k}=\gamma_{0}+\gamma_{1} N A C_{j t}+\gamma_{2} A B N A C_{j t}+\varepsilon_{j k},
\end{aligned}
$$

## Summary of Regression Analysis

- Total accruals, change in current operating assets, current accruals, and abnormal accruals have incremental explanatory powers with respect to future abnormal stock returns.
- It implies that the future stock returns are partially predictable by using the current total accruals and their components.
- The evidence supports our first hypothesis, H1.



## Month-by-Month Return Spread Behavior

- The investors overestimate the implications of the accruals information around the time when it gets publicly released.
- The firms' stock whose abnormal accruals are large (small) is overpriced (underpriced) initially.
- Will the mispricing phenomenon correct itself as the months elapse and the information on the future earning become available?

Average Monthly Returns of Ranked Portfolios

- Return spread of ACC is $0.226 \%$ p. m. (Sig. at $8 \%$ )
- Return spread of ABNAC is $0.275 \%$ p. m. (Sig. at $3 \%$ )
- Trading strategies based on ACC and ABNAC can generate positive returns and they are statistically and economically significant.

|  | LnMV | BPR | ACC | पCOA | ACOL | ANCOL | DEPR | CACC | NCACC | NAC | ABNAC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| P1 | 1.47 | 1.28 | 0.85 | 0.90 | 0.68 | 0.92 | 0.87 | 0.79 | 0.85 | 0.80 | 0.94 |
| P2 | 1.05 | 1.13 | 0.84 | 0.92 | 0.60 | 0.76 | 0.76 | 0.95 | 0.83 | 0.78 | 0.82 |
| P3 | 0.80 | 0.92 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.59 | 0.71 | 0.82 | 0.83 | 0.76 | 0.78 | 0.87 |
| P4 | 0.77 | 0.90 | 0.83 | 0.84 | 0.80 | 0.74 | 0.77 | 0.86 | 0.83 | 0.83 | 0.94 |
| P5 | 0.64 | 0.86 | 0.87 | 0.90 | 0.88 | 0.68 | 0.90 | 0.81 | 0.87 | 0.91 | 0.75 |
| P6 | 0.66 | 0.82 | 0.83 | 0.80 | 0.86 | 0.75 | 0.81 | 0.85 | 0.76 | 0.81 | 0.79 |
| P7 | 0.66 | 0.56 | 0.75 | 0.79 | 0.88 | 0.71 | 0.78 | 0.79 | 0.69 | 0.83 | 0.71 |
| P8 | 0.67 | 0.60 | 0.69 | 0.69 | 0.90 | 0.77 | 0.78 | 0.76 | 0.80 | 0.85 | 0.74 |
| P9 | 0.61 | 0.53 | 0.74 | 0.60 | 0.92 | 0.84 | 0.76 | 0.67 | 0.81 | 0.70 | 0.73 |
| P10 | 0.62 | 0.35 | 0.62 | 0.56 | 0.83 | 1.06 | 0.69 | 0.64 | 0.73 | 0.66 | 0.66 |
| P1-P10 | 0.85 | 0.94 | 0.23 | 0.35 | -0.16 | -0.15 | 0.18 | 0.15 | 0.12 | 0.14 | 0.28 |
| $t$-value | 1.96 | 3.47 | 1.78 | 1.61 | -0.77 | -0.80 | 0.74 | 0.97 | 0.51 | 1.18 | 2.27 |
| $p$-value | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.08 | 0.11 | 0.44 | 0.42 | 0.46 | 0.33 | 0.61 | 0.24 | 0.02 |

Return Analysis of Two-Stage Ranked Portfolios

- Average return spreads are all positive without exceptions.
- Abnormal accruals based trading strategy generates positive returns even after controlling for firm's size, BPR, and EPR characteristics. (H2)

|  |  | P1 | P2 | P3 | P4 | P5 | Ave. Spr. | $t$-value | $p$-value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LnMV | Large | 0.564 | 0.615 | 0.666 | 0.643 | 0.562 | 0.002 | 0.016 | 0.987 |
|  |  | 0.784 | 0.741 | 0.703 | 0.635 | 0.462 | 0.322 | 1.916 | 0.056 |
|  | Mid. | 0.715 | 0.746 | 0.718 | 0.573 | 0.478 | 0.236 | 1.395 | 0.164 |
|  |  | 0.938 | 0.756 | 0.703 | 0.799 | 0.714 | 0.224 | 1.315 | 0.190 |
|  | Small | 1.417 | 1.489 | 1.202 | 1.008 | 1.155 | 0.262 | 1.191 | 0.235 |
| BPR | Value | 1.298 | 1.165 | 1.291 | 1.056 | 1.214 | 0.084 | 0.427 | 0.670 |
|  |  | 0.864 | 1.075 | 0.915 | 0.895 | 0.762 | 0.102 | 0.683 | 0.495 |
|  | Neutral | 0.889 | 1.017 | 0.927 | 0.666 | 0.665 | 0.224 | 1.201 | 0.231 |
|  |  | 0.672 | 0.791 | 0.481 | 0.418 | 0.555 | 0.117 | 0.724 | 0.470 |
|  | Growth | 0.551 | 0.415 | 0.315 | 0.402 | 0.480 | 0.071 | 0.326 | 0.745 |
| EPR | High | 0.911 | 0.950 | 0.951 | 0.861 | 0.824 | 0.087 | 0.469 | 0.639 |
|  |  | 0.901 | 0.980 | 0.817 | 0.675 | 0.775 | 0.126 | 0.828 | 0.408 |
|  | Mid. | 0.713 | 0.954 | 0.731 | 0.701 | 0.568 | 0.145 | 0.870 | 0.385 |
|  |  | 0.680 | 0.781 | 0.622 | 0.753 | 0.605 | 0.075 | 0.406 | 0.685 |
|  | Low | 1.019 | 0.935 | 0.812 | 0.671 | 0.601 | 0.418 | 1.988 | 0.048 |

Question: Will the mispricing phenomenon correct itself as the months elapse?

- We report the return spread behavior for every month to explore whether the significant return spread is clustered around the future earnings announcement months.

|  |  | Jul | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jul-Dec |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ACC | Ave. | 0.39 | -0.70 | 0.61 | -0.33 | 0.47 | 0.46 | 0.83 |
|  | p-value | 0.66 | 0.03 | 0.47 | 0.17 | 0.60 | 0.46 | 0.59 |
| NAC | Ave. | 0.72 | -0.12 | 0.37 | -0.48 | 0.02 | 0.44 | 0.91 |
|  | p -value | 0.15 | 0.50 | 0.58 | 0.19 | 0.75 | 0.29 | 0.91 |
| ABNAC | Ave. | -0.16 | -0.53 | 0.44 | -0.27 | 0.81 | -0.38 | -0.14 |
|  | p -value | 0.33 | 0.02 | 0.76 | 0.15 | 0.29 | 0.09 | 0.11 |
|  |  | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | Mav | Jun | Jan-Jun |
| $\overline{A C C}$ | Ave. | -0.33 | 0.03 | 0.61 | 0.42 | 0.27 | 0.81 | 1.85 |
|  | p -value | 0.34 | 0.71 | 0.41 | 0.74 | 0.91 | 0.22 | 0.74 |
| $\triangle C O A$ | Ave. | 1.28 | 1.34 | 0.79 | 0.28 | 1.68 | 1.64 | 7.33 |
|  | p-value | 0.36 | 0.20 | 0.60 | 0.93 | 0.09 | 0.06 | 0.07 |
| NAC | Ave. | -0.42 | 0.01 | -0.11 | 0.64 | 0.27 | 0.29 | 0.70 |
|  | p-value | 0.22 | 0.71 | 0.53 | 0.35 | 0.76 | 0.74 | 0.94 |
| ABNAC | Ave. | 0.86 | 0.37 | 0.41 | 1.13 | 0.22 | 0.40 | 3.50 |
|  | p-value | 0.23 | 0.81 | 0.79 | 0.06 | 0.90 | 0.74 | 0.24 |

Question: Will the mispricing phenomenon correct itself as the months elapse?


## Summary of the Spread Behavior Analysis

- The mispricing phenomenon caused by the misinterpretation of the abnormal accruals information gets corrected after January of the subsequent year.
- The audited interim financial statements of our sample firms are disclosed between November and December.
- We can interpret that the positive returns from the ABNAC based trading strategy are mainly concentrated in the months when the future earnings information become publicly available.
- These evidences support our third hypothesis (H3).

Analysts Earnings Forecasts and ABNAC Anomaly

- The stock market misprices the abnormal accruals information during the portfolio formation months.
- Do financial analysts fail to correctly analyze the implications from the abnormal accruals information ?
- We investigate the relationship between the abnormal accruals and the revisions of analyst earnings forecasts.


Definition of Upward and Downward Revision

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S E P(+)=\left\{\begin{array}{lr}
1 \text { if } E F_{S E P}>E F_{J U N} \\
0 & \text { otherwise }
\end{array}, \quad \operatorname{SEP}(-)=\left\{\begin{array}{lr}
1 \text { if } E F_{S E P}<E F_{J U N} \\
0 & \text { otherwise }
\end{array},\right.\right. \\
& D E C(+)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
1 \text { if } E F_{D E C}>E F_{J U N} \\
0
\end{array} \quad \text { otherwise }, ~ D E C(-)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
1 \text { if } E F_{D E C}<E F_{J U N} \\
0 & \text { otherwise }
\end{array},\right.\right. \\
& \operatorname{MAR}(+)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
1 \text { if } E F_{\text {MAR }}>E F_{J U N} \\
0
\end{array} \quad \text { otherwise }, ~ M A R(-)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
1 \text { if } E F_{M A R}<E F_{J U N} \\
0 & \text { otherwise }
\end{array} .\right.\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

## Forecast Revisions for ABNAC Ranked Portfolios

- The earnings forecasts for the firms with lower (higher) abnormal accruals are revised more frequently upward (downward) than the firms with higher (lower) abnormal accruals.



Direction of the Earnings Forecast Revisions vs. the Magnitude of Abnormal Accruals

- We compute the average of ABNACs for the firms for which analysts revise their forecasts upward (and downward)


Time-Series of Abnormal Accruals Firms in Downwardly Revised Group

- In contrast, for the firms for which analysts revise their forecasts downward, they are positive for most of the cases.


Year by Year Patterns of the Probability Differences Downwardly Revised Dummy Variables

- The differences between P1 and P10 are more often negative.
- We conclude that the most significant revisions occur near March; i.e., the end of next accounting period.



## Summary of the Analysts Forecasts Analysis

- The annual earnings forecasts for the firms with higher (lower) abnormal accruals are revised more
downwardly (upwardly) than the firms with lower (higher) abnormal accruals. (H4)
- The probability of downward (upward) forecasts revisions for the firms with the highest (lowest) abnormal accruals increases when the subsequent year's earnings are realized to be lower (higher) than has been originally expected. (H5)
- ABNAC for the firms for which analysts revise downward their earnings forecasts is larger than the one for the firms for which the analysts do upward. (H6)


## Conclusion

- Both the investors and the analysts fail to distinguish the level of the accruals and their impact upon the reported earnings in the initial announcement months, and they begin to recognize the real implications of the accruals around future earnings announcement months.
- Future stock returns are partially predictable by the accruals components, and the Japanese stock market misprices the abnormal accruals information initially in the portfolio formation year.


## Time Variation of Parameters

- Intercept term is not stable across time


Explanation of Low R-squared

- Regression analysis by using pooled data


